Effect of air and fuel supercharging on emissions from an agricultural engine

Efeito da sobrealimentação de ar e combustível nas emissões de um motor agrícola
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Abstract
Pollutant gases emitted by engines depend mainly on the applied load and engine speed. This study quantifies the emissions of pollutant gases from a diesel-cycle engine, while changing the configuration of the air and fuel supply system. We analyzed the variables particle matter (g kWh⁻¹), carbon monoxide (g kWh⁻¹), nitrogen oxides (g kWh⁻¹), oxygen (%vol.) and hydrocarbons (g kWh⁻¹), in different engine configurations (aspirated; aspirated + service; turbocharged + service; and turbocharged). Dynamometric experiments were carried out by means of the power take-off of an agricultural tractor. The experimental design was completely randomized, in a two-factorial scheme with three replicates. The results indicate that engine supercharging, compared to the original configuration, significantly reduces the particle matter of gases and carbon monoxide, but increases the levels of nitrogen oxides emitted. The load applied to the engine was directly related to the emissions, except for oxygen.

Additional Keywords: agricultural tractor; greenhouse gases; injection pump; turbocharger.

Resumo
Os gases poluentes emitidos pelo motor dependem principalmente, da carga aplicada e de sua rotação de trabalho. Objetivou-se quantificar as emissões de gases poluentes de um motor ciclo Diesel, alterando a configuração do sistema de alimentação de ar e combustível. As variáveis analisadas foram material particulado (g kWh⁻¹), monóxido de carbono (g kWh⁻¹), óxidos de nitrogênio (g kWh⁻¹), oxigênio (%vol.) e hidrocarbonetos (g kWh⁻¹) em diferentes configurações do motor (aspirado, aspirado + serviço, turboalimentado + serviço e turboalimentado). Foram realizados experimentos dinamométricos por meio da tomada de potência de um trator agrícola. O delineamento experimental utilizado foi o inteiramente casualizado, em esquema bifatorial com três repetições. Os resultados indicam que o sobrealimentação do motor, em relação à configuração original, proporciona redução significativa de material particulado dos gases e do monóxido de carbono, porém aumento dos níveis de óxidos de nitrogênio emitidos. A carga aplicada ao motor esteve diretamente relacionada às emissões, exceto para oxigênio.

Palavras-chave adicionais: bomba injetora; gases de efeito estufa; trator agrícola; turbocompressor.

Introduction
The growing environmental concern constrains the use of fossil fuels, which are the main responsible for the emission of pollutant gases in the atmosphere (Frantz et al., 2014). Global energy consumption and the adverse environmental effects of its use have had significant increases in the past century and are projected to continue to increase in the future (Janulevicius et al., 2013; Lebedevas et al., 2013). The main imbalance resulting from the burning of fuels occurs in the carbon cycle (CO and CO₂), which, in high amounts in the environment, contributes to global warming (Giakoumis et al., 2013). Nitrogen oxides (NOX) and particulate matter, emitted after combustion, contribute to the formation of acid rain and to the destruction of the ozone layer (Amoroso et al., 2006). These emissions cause problems for human health, and their long-term effects are related to diseases with cancer risk (Tadano et al., 2014). Moreover, short-term effects include respiratory problems, eye irritation and cough (Hosseinpoor et al., 2005).
chine in the rural environment. There is an estimation of twenty-nine million tractors equipped with diesel-cycle engines in the world (Bilski, 2013); given the efficiency and reliability of such engines (Perin et al., 2015). Currently, manufacturers of these engines for the agricultural sector often use turbocharger to achieve higher horsepower. According to Farias (2014), in the Brazilian market, this tendency is observed in engines with nominal power above 55 kW.

Air supercharging using a turbocharger centrifugal compressor allows to use the energy of the exhaust gases to move a turbine, installed in the engine air intake system. Therefore, a greater amount of air enters the cylinder (Karabektas, 2009).

For aspirated engines, specialized companies provide sets for the supercharging of air, which are installed in engines of new and used tractors at the request of consumers. Such practice increases the availability of power by the engine at low cost, which results in a cost-effective solution. This process introduces in the cylinder a volume of air greater than the one corresponding to natural aspiration. Thus, even with the same cylinder capacity, a greater power is achieved (Giacosa, 1980; Márquez, 2012).

To obtain significant gains in engine power, besides adding the kit, an increased volume of fuel must be injected into the cylinder (Karabektas, 2009). These different configurations in the air and fuel supply system are known to engine manufacturers and are often used to produce a lot of engines meeting a wide range of power from a single engine block design (Márquez, 2012).

Despite the abundance of studies assessing the emissions from vehicle engines, diesel-cycle engines are still little researched. In this sense, the present work quantifies the emissions of pollutant gases from a diesel-cycle engine of an agricultural tractor, while changing the configuration of the air and fuel supply system.

**Material and methods**

The experiment was conducted under laboratory conditions in the Agricultural Machinery Test Center, at the Federal University of Santa Maria in Santa Maria city, Rio Grande do Sul State, Brazil, (29° 43′ 21.3″ S; 53° 43′ 08.0″ W; 102 m). A Massey Ferguson tractor, model MF 4275, was used. The tractor was equipped with a four-stroke diesel-cycle engine with 32 hours of use, Perkins brand, model 1104A-44, with four cylinders, total cylinder capacity of 4,400 cm³ and natural aspiration. According to the manufacturer, the maximum engine torque and power are 275 Nm and 56 kW (75 hp), respectively, following the ISO TR 14396 standard. The fuel supply system comprised a rotary-type mechanical injection pump, Delphi brand, model 1463. The diesel fuel used in the experiment was purchased from the local automotive supply network, with a specific mass of 0.875 kg L⁻¹ at 18.5 °C.

A two-factor scheme was used, evaluating the following factors: air and fuel supply system configuration (C1; C2; C3; and C4) and engine speeds (1,300, 1,400, 1,500, 1,600, 1,700, 1,800, 1,900, 2,000 and 2,100 rpm), in a completely randomized experimental design with three replicates.

The engine was altered to establish the air and fuel supply system configuration factor. Initially, we evaluated the tractor engine in its original configuration (C1: Aspirated). Subsequently, the injection pump was set up on a test bench, where the fuel flow or load of this device went from 67 ml at 800 rpm of the injection pump, to 74 ml. Thus, the device had a 10% increase in the injected fuel, which determined the second configuration (C2: Aspirated + Service). To evaluate the effects of the turbocharger on engine performance, a kit for air supercharging, Master Power brand, model APL 240 was installed. The device has an air intake pressure of 1.0 bar, being set after the service performed in the injection pump (C3: Turbocharged + Service). With the turbocharger installed, for the last evaluated configuration, the original injection pump flow was used again (C4: Turbocharged).

Prior to the experiment, using the torque brake to reach the optimum operating temperature, the engine was warmed up, imposing a sufficient load to reduce its maximum speed (2,350 rpm) by 25% for a period of 20 minutes. The torque brake was set to brake at each 100 rpm decrease in engine speed from the first data collected from 2,100 to 1,300 rpm, where the maximum power and maximum torque speeds were found, respectively, to all configurations evaluated. The collection time of exhaust gases and particulate matter at each engine speed was two minutes, with the first third of the data being discarded to stabilize the measuring equipment. Data were collected every two seconds with 40 observations for each configuration and for each engine speed, totaling 1,440 observations.

A gas analyzer, SAXON brand, model Infralyt ELD was used to measure the main engine exhaust gases, carbon monoxide (CO) (%vol.), nitrogen oxides (NOx) (ppm), oxygen (O₂) (%vol.) and hydrocarbons (HC) (ppm). The particulate matter (PM) present in the exhaust gases was measured by means of the light absorption coefficient (k value), using the SAXON opacimeter, model Opacity 1030. The control and acquisition of the data measured by these two devices was achieved through the computer program MW IELD 01030. In addition, gas temperature (°C) values were collected using a type k thermocouple. To transform the unit to g kWh⁻¹, the equations presented by Pilusa et al. (2012) were used.

To obtain the exhaust gases and PM values as a function of the engine speed through the tractor's power take-off (PTO), an electric torque brake, EGGERS brand, model PT 301 MES was used, with braking capacity up to 551 kW (750 hp). The engine braking intensity was assessed using EGGERS Power Control software, which manages the use of the dynamometer.
The Opacilyt used in this research presents partial flow, and the graduated chamber has a length of 430 mm. The PM is measured by a beam of light emitted perpendicularly to the direction of the exhaust gases. The difference in intensity between the emitted light and the received light after passing through the exhaust gases determines the number of particles in the gases. Due to the formation of water vapor, expelled through the engine exhaust pipe, this equipment was fixed in a tower with height greater than the exhaust pipe, so as not to damage the equipment and not to compromise the PM measurement. Infralyt has a water condenser, so it does not need to be fixed on the exhaust pipe. With both devices connected via software and computer, the collection of PM and gaseous emissions took place at the same time by means of a metal probe installed inside the engine exhaust pipe. The schematic representation of the experiment is shown in Figure 1.

In the statistical analysis, the data were analyzed for their normality and homoscedasticity. After collection, all variables were submitted to analysis of variance (p≤0.05). In the case of significance, means were analyzed by Tukey's test (p≤0.05).

Results and discussion

The analysis of variance of the results for particulate matter and pollutant gases emissions (CO, NOx, O2 and HC) in the four configurations of the air and fuel supply system evaluated (Factor A) and in the different engine speeds (Factor B) showed that the all variables presented variation (Table 1), whose equations are described in Table 2.

Emissions decrease with the reduction of the load applied to the engine (Figure 2a, 2b, 2c and 2e), i.e., in the highest speeds, in which the torque values are generally smaller, corroborating the results obtained by Perin et al. (2015). Furthermore, the results indicate that only with the increase of injected fuel (C2: Aspirated + Service), the CO and HC emissions were higher than the original configuration (C1: Aspirated). Rich mixture, i.e., greater amount of fuel relative to the number of O2 molecules, not having a stoichiometric equilibrium, as well as the non-uniform mixing thereof within the cylinder, may favor the formation of carbon monoxide and hydrocarbons.

Table 1 - Analysis of variance for the assessed parameters: particulate matter (PM); carbon monoxide (CO); nitrogen oxides (NOx); oxygen (O2) and hydrocarbons (HC).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sources of variation</th>
<th>Degrees of freedom</th>
<th>PM</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>NOx</th>
<th>O2</th>
<th>HC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Factor A</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11.99</td>
<td>154.41</td>
<td>22.42</td>
<td>178.28</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factor B</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>13.16</td>
<td>80.83</td>
<td>26.20</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A x B</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>11.75</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residue</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fc (A x B)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>26.85</td>
<td>1,063.92</td>
<td>157.13</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>157.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>14.29</td>
<td>6.03</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>2.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant by the F test (p≤0.05). **Calculated F (Fc); Coefficient of variation (CV).
Table 2 - Regression equations and respective adjusted $R^2$ for particulate matter (PM) and emissions for carbon monoxide (CO), nitrogen oxides (NO$_x$), oxygen (O$_2$) and hydrocarbons (HC) for Aspirated (C1), Aspirated + Service (C2), Turbocharged + Service (C3) and Turbocharged (C4) settings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gases</th>
<th>C1</th>
<th>C2</th>
<th>C3</th>
<th>C4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>$Y=1\times10^{-5}r^{2}-0.004r+6.07$</td>
<td>$Y=2\times10^{-5}r^{2}-0.011r+13.13$</td>
<td>$Y=5\times10^{-6}r^{2}-0.004r+4.25$</td>
<td>$Y=2\times10^{-4}r+0.47$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>$Y=1\times10^{-6}r^{2}-0.003r+3.71$</td>
<td>$Y=2\times10^{-5}r^{2}+0.082r+85.45$</td>
<td>$Y=2\times10^{-6}r^{2}-0.006r+5.71$</td>
<td>$Y=0.00012r+0.11$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_x$</td>
<td>$Y=-1\times10^{-6}r^{2}+18.21$</td>
<td>$Y=-9\times10^{-6}r^{2}+0.023r-5.04$</td>
<td>$Y=2\times10^{-6}r^{2}+25.49$</td>
<td>$Y=2\times10^{-6}r^{2}-0.02r+32.02$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O$_2$</td>
<td>$Y=8\times10^{-6}r^{2}-0.02r+23.00$</td>
<td>$Y=11\times10^{-6}r^{2}-0.03r+25.75$</td>
<td>$Y=1\times10^{-6}r^{2}+0.004r+1.15$</td>
<td>$Y=0.005r+1.97$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC</td>
<td>$1\times10^{-7}r^{2}-3\times10^{-5}r+0.07$</td>
<td>$1\times10^{-7}r^{2}-0.002r+0.30$</td>
<td>$1\times10^{-7}r^{2}-9\times10^{-5}r+0.12$</td>
<td>$1\times10^{-7}r^{2}-4\times10^{-5}r+0.06$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$r =$ Engine speed (rpm).

**Figure 2** - Variation of exhaust emissions: a) particulate matter; b) carbon monoxide (CO); c) nitrogen oxides (NO$_x$); d) oxygen (O$_2$); e) hydrocarbons (HC) and f) exhaust gases temperature, as a function of the engine speed, for Aspirated (C1), Aspirated + Service (C2), Turbocharged + Service (C3) and Turbocharged (C4) settings.
The engine speed of 1,300 rpm accounts for the highest particulate matter values. At this speed, C2 differed from the value obtained with C1 (Table 3). Particulate matter tends to decrease as the engine speed increases (Figure 2a). This is due to the higher amount of O₂ admitted by the engine at high speeds (Figure 2d), since particulate matter is a residue of the air-fuel mixture combustion.

Table 3 - Engine exhaust emissions (particulate matter; carbon monoxide; nitrogen oxide; oxygen and hydrocarbons) in different speeds for Aspirated (C1), Aspirated + Service (C2), Turbocharged + Service (C3) and Turbocharged (C4) settings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration (rpm)</th>
<th>Particulate matter (g kWh⁻¹)</th>
<th>Carbon monoxide (g kWh⁻¹)</th>
<th>Nitrogen oxide (g kWh⁻¹)</th>
<th>Oxygen (%vol.)</th>
<th>Hydrocarbons (g kWh⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,300</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>1,600</td>
<td>1,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>C1</th>
<th>C2</th>
<th>C3</th>
<th>C4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>7.81</td>
<td>9.93</td>
<td>7.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.84</td>
<td>7.19</td>
<td>9.33</td>
<td>8.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means followed by the same letter in the column do not differ (p<0.05) between each other by Tukey test.

Braun et al. (2003) state that particulate matter forms in oxygen-poor atmospheres through pyrolysis. In this sense, one can affirm that air supercharging (C3 and C4) increases oxygen availability for combustion, since these tests reduced particulate matter emissions by 72.63% and 78.95%, respectively, in relation to C1. The reduction of PM using turbocharged can be explained by the increase of O₂ (Figure 2d), reducing the possibility of rich mixture formation in the engine combustion chamber (Estrada et al., 2016).

Figure 2b shows that the C2 configuration stands out for high CO emission. This configuration emits 463.83% more CO than the original engine configuration (Table 4). Rich mixtures increase the concentration of this pollutant, due to lack of homogeneity of the mixture. In other words, according to Sayın (2010), this is because CO is produced by burning under conditions of low oxygen (incomplete combustion) and/or high temperature (Figure 2f).

Table 4 - Mean values of the engine exhaust emissions variables and its variation (Δ) regarding configuration C1 (aspirated engine).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conf.</th>
<th>PM (g kWh⁻¹)</th>
<th>Δ (%)</th>
<th>CO (g kWh⁻¹)</th>
<th>Δ (%)</th>
<th>NOx (g kWh⁻¹)</th>
<th>Δ (%)</th>
<th>O₂ (%vol.)</th>
<th>Δ (%)</th>
<th>HC (g kWh⁻¹)</th>
<th>Δ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>0.95a</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.94a</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>7.24c</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>7.07c</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.13d</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>1.62a</td>
<td>70.53</td>
<td>5.30a</td>
<td>463.83</td>
<td>8.47c</td>
<td>16.99</td>
<td>4.91d</td>
<td>-30.55</td>
<td>2.51b</td>
<td>17.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>0.26a</td>
<td>-72.63</td>
<td>0.41c</td>
<td>-56.38</td>
<td>9.47h</td>
<td>30.80</td>
<td>9.97h</td>
<td>41.02</td>
<td>2.71a</td>
<td>27.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td>0.20a</td>
<td>-78.95</td>
<td>0.31i</td>
<td>-67.02</td>
<td>8.49g</td>
<td>17.27</td>
<td>10.36h</td>
<td>46.53</td>
<td>2.42e</td>
<td>13.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Means followed by the same letter in the column do not differ (p<0.05) between each other by Tukey test. **Particulate matter (PM); carbon monoxide (CO); nitrogen oxide (NOₓ); oxygen (O₂); hydrocarbons (HC).
When analyzing the CO variable as a function of the speed for all engine configurations (Table 3), only at 1,300 rpm the results differ significantly in the four groups. Corroborating the results obtained in this study, Valente et al. (2012) observed that CO emissions decrease the lower the load applied to the engine. Brunetti (2012) warns of the risks caused by this pollutant, since it reacts with hemoglobin reducing the ability of the blood to carry O₂.

When operating at 2,100 rpm (Figure 2c), in relation to 1,300 rpm, i.e., when the engine loads decrease, there is an average reduction of 64.14% in NOₓ emission, for all configurations evaluated. The addition of turbocharger (configurations C3 and C4) increased NOₓ emission when compared to the configuration C1, with the lowest emission (Table 4). This is because of the greater availability of oxygen, provided by the supercharging of air.

According to Carvalho Júnior & Lacava (2003), NOₓ formation is related to the high temperatures in the combustion chamber. With the addition of turbocharger + service in the injection pump (configuration C3), greater heat generation is expected due to the increased amount of oxidizer and fuel. This situation can be confirmed based on the analysis of Figure 2c, with the highest levels of NOₓ emission. Furthermore, these emissions are proportional to the load applied to the engine.

Figure 2d shows that the O₂ emitted by the engine increased as the speed was higher, for all the studied configurations, especially for the configurations with turbocharger (C3 and C4). The greater the volume of air admitted, the easier it is to achieve the total combustion of the fuel load (Giacosa, 1980). According to Brunetti (2012), the improvement of the combustion process reduces smoke, CO and HC, but increases NOₓ levels.

Lima et al. (2007) state that O₂ exhaust emission levels are good parameters for the assessment of combustion efficiency. In cases of low levels of O₂ emissions, the consensus is that the mixture is formed with excess fuel, i.e., rich mixture. This can be seen in Figure 2d, for engine configuration C2. In the case of air/fuel mixtures with excess oxidizer (poor mixture), there are higher O₂ emissions, as observed for configuration C4 (Figure 2d).

Figure 2e shows the behavior of HC emissions. As for CO, the condition of 10% increase in injected fuel (C2) stands out for high HC emissions. Hydrocarbons are formed by the partial decomposition of fuel during combustion and may occur in regions of the chamber where the mixture is excessively rich or poor (Agarwal et al., 2011; Brunetti, 2012). Even with a stoichiometric mixture, the concentration of this pollutant is high, due to lack of homogeneity of the mixture.

Regardless of the engine configuration, HC emissions varied from 0.03 g kWh⁻¹, at the highest engine speed (2,100 rpm), to 0.05 g kWh⁻¹, on average, at 1,300 rpm (Table 3). This represents a 40% reduction. At higher speed (above 1,900 rpm), O₂ is available in sufficient quantity (Figure 2d); however, at low speeds, with high loads imposed on the engine, O₂ drastically reduces. Thus, hydrocarbons emission is lower at low loads compared with the engine running at full load.

Figure 2f shows the behavior of the exhaust gas temperature as a function of engine speed. The maximum gas temperatures measured for configurations C1, C2, C3 and C4 were 353.00; 422.67; 324.33; and 282.67°C, respectively. The lower temperatures occurred for the engine configurations with turbocharger (C3 and C4). Moreover, higher gas temperatures are related to the enrichment of the air/fuel mixture, as verified in configuration C2.

**Conclusions**

Emissions of particulate matter and CO reduced when turbocharger was used alone compared to the original configuration (aspirated).

Engine fuel supercharging, compared to the original configuration, significantly increases the emissions of particulate matter, CO, NOₓ and HC.

Emissions of pollutants from the diesel-cycle engine decrease with the reduction of the load applied to the engine.
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